

Department of Mathematics
California State University, Los Angeles
Master's Degree Comprehensive Examination in

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS
Fall 2011

Do exactly 2 problems from part I AND exactly two problems from part II.

Part I: (Do two problems)

1. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

- a. [2 pts] Give the value of $\|A\|_\infty$.
- b. [2 pts] Is A *strictly* diagonally dominant (yes or no)?
- c. [8 pts] Determine the LU decomposition of A .
- d. [5 pts] Show that A is positive definite.

e. [8 pts] Let B_n denote the $n \times n$ matrix whose form is illustrated by $B_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

i.e., 1's on the diagonal, -1 in all below-diagonal positions, 1's in the last column, and all other entries 0. Show that if Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting is used, then B_n can be reduced to upper-triangular form without row exchanges, and the resulting matrix U has $u_{nn} = 2^{n-1}$

2. Let A be a 2×2 matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 1$ and corresponding eigenvectors $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

- a. [5 pts] Find the matrix A .
- b. [8 pts] Show that the Power Method will converge when applied to the matrix A above with initial vector $x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. What does it converge to? How do you modify the Power Method so that it will converge to the other eigenvalue?
- c. [3 pts] Apply two iterations of the Power Method to the matrix A .

- d. [3 pts] Give an example of an initial vector for which the Power Method will not converge. Briefly explain your reasoning.
- e. [6 pts] For any $n \times n$ matrix B and any induced matrix norm, show that $\rho(B) \leq \|B\|$.

3. Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

- a. [9 pts] By computing the spectral radius of the iteration matrix, determine whether or not Jacobi iteration converges in solving $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$, where \mathbf{c} is an arbitrary 3-dimensional vector.
- b. [9 pts] By computing the spectral radius of the iteration matrix, determine whether or not Gauss-Seidel iteration converges in solving $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$, where \mathbf{c} is an arbitrary 3-dimensional vector.
- c. [7 pts] Given the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ where A is an $n \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{b} is an arbitrary n -dimensional vector, write $A = M - N$, where M is nonsingular, and consider the iterative method

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = M^{-1}N\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + M^{-1}\mathbf{b} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Show that the error in the above approximation satisfies:

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}\| \leq \|G\|^k \|\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}\|,$$

where $G = M^{-1}N$ and $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ is the initial approximation and \mathbf{x} is the actual solution.

Part II: (Do two problems)

1. The unidirectional wave equation

$$u_t + 3u_x = 0$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x)$$

can be solved by using an upwind scheme

$$\frac{u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j}}{k} + 3 \frac{u_{i,j} - u_{i-1,j}}{h} = 0$$

$$u_{i,0} = f(ih)$$

where $u_{i,j} \approx u(ih, jk)$ and $h = \Delta x, k = \Delta t$ are the given grid sizes.

- [5 pts] Find the CFL condition for the above scheme.
- [10 pts] Perform the von Neumann analysis to show that the scheme is stable under the same condition found in part (a).
- [10pts] Prove that under the same CFL condition, the scheme satisfies a local maximum-minimum principle, i.e.

$$\min(u_{i-1,j}, u_{i,j}) \leq u_{i,j+1} \leq \max(u_{i-1,j}, u_{i,j}).$$

2. Consider the PDE

$$u_t = u_{xx}, 0 \leq x \leq 1, t > 0$$

$$u(x, 0) = x, 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, t > 0$$

Suppose we approximate the above PDE by finite difference scheme

$$\frac{u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j}}{k} = \frac{u_{i+1,j+1} - 2u_{i,j+1} + u_{i-1,j+1}}{h^2}$$

where $h = \Delta x, k = \Delta t$ are the given grid sizes.

- [6 pts] Find the matrices B and C such that $B\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = C\mathbf{u}_j$ where $\mathbf{u}_j = [u_{1,j}, u_{2,j}, \dots, u_{N-1,j}]$.
- [7 pts] Show that the scheme is consistent with the PDE. What is the order of accuracy of the scheme?
- [8 pts] Does the scheme converge? Explain your answer.
- [4 pts] Suppose we approximate the above PDE by a θ -method:

$$-r\theta u_{i-1,j+1} + (1 + 2r\theta)u_{i,j+1} - r\theta u_{i+1,j+1}$$

$$= r(1 - \theta)u_{i-1,j} + (1 - 2r(1 - \theta))u_{i,j} + r(1 - \theta)u_{i+1,j}.$$

For what value(s) of θ is this scheme implicit?

3. Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$2u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 6 \quad 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1,$$

$$u(x, 0) = x^2, u(x, 1) = (x - 1)^2$$

$$u(0, y) = y^2, u(1, y) = (1 - y)^2$$

- a. [5 pts] Show that $u(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ is a solution to the boundary value problem.
- b. [10 pts] Using central differencing for the derivatives write down a finite difference scheme for the problem and simplify it. Show that your scheme is consistent.
- c. [10 pts] Using $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1/3$ obtain a system of linear equation for solving this problem. Express this in the form $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}$ where \mathbf{A} is a 4 x 4 matrix.