HW9 Solutions



















2)
Let
$$x \in IR$$
 be fixed.
Pick N₁>0 large enough so
that $-N_1 \leq f(x) \leq N_1$.
Pick N₂>0 large enough so
that $-N_2 \leq x \leq N_2$.
Let $M = \max \{N_1, N_2\}$.
Then, $-M \leq f(x) \leq M$ and $-M \leq x \leq M$.
Thus,
 $-g_M(x) = -M \cdot X_{EM,M}$
 $= -M \leq f(x) \leq M$
 $= M \cdot X_{EM,M}$
 $(x) = 9_M(x)$
 $= M \cdot X_{EM,M}$

That is,

$$-g_{M}(x) \leq f(x) \leq g_{M}(x).$$
So,

$$f_{M}(x) = \operatorname{mid} \{ \{ -g_{M} \}, f_{J} g_{M} \} \{ (x) = f(x).$$
Note that if $n \geq M$, then $[-M,M] \leq [-n,n]$
and so $X_{[-M,M]}(x) \leq X_{[-n,n]}(x).$
Thus, if $n \geq M$, then

$$-g_{n}(x) = -n \cdot X_{[-n,n]}(x) \leq -n \cdot X_{[-M,M]}(x)$$

$$\leq -M \cdot X_{[-n,n]}(x) = -g_{M}(x)$$

$$\leq f(x) \leq g_{M}(x) = M \cdot X_{[-M,M]}(x)$$

$$\leq n \cdot X_{[-M,M]}(x) \leq n \cdot X_{[-M,M]}(x)$$

$$= g_{n}(x).$$

That is, if
$$n \ge M$$
, then
 $-g_n(x) \le f(x) \le g_n(x)$.
So if $n \ge M$, then
 $f_n(x) = \operatorname{mid} \{2 - g_n, f_n, g_n\}(x)$
 $= \operatorname{mid} \{2 - g_n(x), f(x), g_n(x)\} = f(x)$.
Thus given $\ge >0$, if $n \ge M$, then
 $|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f(x) - f(x)|$
 $= 0 < \mathbb{E}$.

Therefore, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$.

(3) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $h(x) = mid \{2 - g(x), f(x), g(x)\}$ We may break the proof into three cases. (are 1: Suppose h(x) = -g(x). Case 1. Suppose ||-g(x)| = g(x). Then, |h(x)| = |-g(x)| = g(x). $g(x) \ge 0$ Case 2: Suppose h(x) = f(x). Then by the def of mid 2-g(x),f(x),g(x)} We have that $-g(x) \leq f(x) \leq g(x)$. Thus, $-g(x) \leq h(x) \leq g(x)$. S_{o} $|h(x)| \leq g(x).$ cuse 3: Suppose h(x) = g(x). Then, |h(x)| = |g(x)| = g(x)g(x) 20 In all three cases, $|h(x)| \leq g(x)$.

 $(4)(\alpha)$ From HW 4 problem 5, min 2 en, 4n] is a step function for each n≥1 since of and to are step functions. We now show that the sequence min 2 Pn, th 3 is non-decreasing. Let n7,1 be fixed. Let XE IR, Since (Pn)n=1 is non-decreasing we have that $P_n(x) \leq P_{n+1}(x)$. Since $(T_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing we have that $\Psi_n(x) \leq \Psi_{n+1}(x)$.



$$\frac{cuse \left[i \text{ Suppose } \min \left\{ \Psi_{n}(x), \Psi_{n}(x) \right\} = \Psi_{n}(x) }{\text{and } \min \left\{ \Psi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \right\} = \Psi_{n+1}(x).}$$
Then,
Then,

$$\min \left\{ \Psi_{n}, \Psi_{n} \right\}(x) = \min \left\{ \Psi_{n}(x), \Psi_{n}(x) \right\} \\= \Psi_{n}(x) \leq \Psi_{n+1}(x) \\= \min \left\{ \Psi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \right\} \\= \min \left\{ \Psi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \right\}$$

$$\frac{\text{case 2'}}{\text{and min } \{ \Psi_n(x), \Psi_n(x) \} = \Psi_n(x)}$$

and min $\{ \Psi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \} = \Psi_{n+1}(x)$.
Since min $\{ \Psi_n(x), \Psi_n(x) \} = \Psi_n(x)$
we know that $\Psi_n(x) \leq \Psi_n(x)$.

Then,

$$\min \{ \varphi_{n} \} \{ x \} = \min \{ \varphi_{n}(x), \Psi_{n}(x) \}$$

$$= \varphi_{n}(x) \leq \Psi_{n}(x) \leq \Psi_{n+1}(x)$$

$$= \min \{ \varphi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \}$$

$$= \min \{ \varphi_{n+1}, \Psi_{n+1} \} (x)$$

$$\frac{\text{Case 3}:}{\text{(and min } \{P_{n+1}(x), f_{n+1}(x)\}\}} = f_{n}(x)}{\text{(and min } \{P_{n+1}(x), f_{n+1}(x)\}\}} = P_{n+1}(x)}.$$
Since min $\{P_{n}(x), f_{n}(x)\} = f_{n}(x)}{\text{we know that } f_{n}(x)} = f_{n}(x)}{\text{we know that } f_{n}(x)} \leq P_{n}(x)}$
Then,
$$\min \{P_{n}, f_{n}\}(x) = \min \{P_{n}(x), f_{n}(x)\}}{= f_{n}(x)} \leq P_{n+1}(x)}{= \min \{P_{n+1}(x), f_{n+1}(x)\}}$$

$$= \min \{P_{n+1}(x), f_{n+1}(x)\}}{\min \{P_{n}(x), f_{n+1}(x)\}}$$
Case 4: Suppose $\min \{P_{n}(x), f_{n}(x)\} = f_{n}(x)}{\text{(and min } \{P_{n+1}(x), f_{n+1}(x)\}\}} = f_{n+1}(x)}.$
Then,
$$\min \{P_{n}, f_{n}\}(x) = \min \{P_{n}(x), f_{n}(x)\}}{= f_{n+1}(x)}.$$

$$\min \{P_{n}, f_{n}\}(x) = \min \{P_{n}(x), f_{n}(x)\}}{= f_{n+1}(x)}.$$

From cases [-4] we get that $(\min\{\varphi_n, t_n\})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of step functions. (4)(6) From HW 4 problem 5, max 2 en, 4, 3 is a step function for each n≥1 since of and to are step functions. We now show that the sequence max 2 Pn, this non-decreasing. Let n7,1 be fixed. Let XE IR, Since $(P_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing we have that $P_n(x) \leq P_{n+1}(x)$. Since $(T_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing we have that $\Psi_n(x) \leq \Psi_{n+1}(x)$.



$$\frac{\text{cuse } [: \text{ Suppose } \max\{\{\varphi_n(x), \Psi_n(x)\}\} = \varphi_n(x))}{\text{and } \max\{\{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x)\}\} = \varphi_{n+1}(x).}$$
Then,

$$\text{Then,}$$

$$\max\{\{\varphi_n, \Psi_n\}(x) = \max\{\{\varphi_n(x), \Psi_n(x)\}\}$$

$$= \varphi_n(x) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(x)$$

$$= \max\{\{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x)\}\}$$

$$= \max\{\{\varphi_{n+1}, \Psi_{n+1}\}(x)\}$$

$$\frac{\text{case 2}:}{\text{and max} \{ \Psi_{n}(x), \Psi_{n}(x) \} = \Psi_{n}(x)}{\text{and max} \{ \Psi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \} = \Psi_{n+1}(x)}.$$

Since max $\{ \Psi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \} = \Psi_{n+1}(x)}{\text{we know that } \Psi_{n+1}(x) \leq \Psi_{n+1}(x)}$

Then,

$$\max \{\varphi_{n}, \psi_{n}\}(x) = \max \{\varphi_{n}(x), \psi_{n}(x)\}$$

$$= \varphi_{n}(x) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(x) \leq t_{n+1}(x)$$

$$= \max \{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \psi_{n+1}(x)\}$$

$$= \max \{\varphi_{n+1}, \psi_{n+1}\}(x)$$

$$\frac{cuse 3}{n} Suppose \max\{\varphi_{n}(x), \psi_{n}(x)\} = \psi_{n}(x)$$

and $\max\{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \psi_{n+1}(x)\} = \varphi_{n+1}(x)$.
Since $\max\{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \psi_{n+1}(x)\} = \varphi_{n+1}(x)$
we know that $\psi_{n+1}(x) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(x)$.
Then,
 $\max\{\varphi_{n}, \psi_{n}\}(x) = \max\{\varphi_{n}(x), \psi_{n}(x)\}$
 $= \psi_{n}(x) \leq \psi_{n+1}(x) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(x)$
 $= \max\{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \psi_{n+1}(x)\}$
 $= \max\{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \psi_{n+1}(x)\}$
 $= \max\{\varphi_{n+1}(x), \psi_{n+1}(x)\}$

<u>Cuse 4</u>: Suppose $\max \{ \varphi_n(x), \Psi_n(x) \} = \Psi_n(x)$ and $\max \{ \varphi_{n+1}(x), \Psi_{n+1}(x) \} = \Psi_{n+1}(x).$

Then,

$$\max \{ \varphi_{n}, \psi_{n} \}(x) = \max \{ \varphi_{n}(x), \psi_{n}(x) \}$$

$$= \psi_{n}(x) \leq \psi_{n+1}(x)$$

$$= \max \{ \varphi_{n+1}(x), \psi_{n+1}(x) \}$$

$$= \max \{ \varphi_{n+1}, \psi_{n+1} \}(x)$$

From cases [-4] we get that $(\max\{\varphi_n, t_n\})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of step functions.

(a) Let f: R→ IR and g: R→ R
be in L⁰.
Then there exist non-decreasing requerces
of step functions
$$(P_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 and $(T_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$
such that $P_n \rightarrow f$ on an almost
everywhere set A_1 and $T_n \rightarrow g$ on
an almost everywhere set A_2 .
Furthermore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int q_n$ converges to $\int f$
and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \text{ converges to } \int g$.
From HW 3, $A_1 \wedge A_2$ is an almost
everywhere set.
And both $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = f(x)$ and
And both $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = f(x)$ and
And $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A_1 \cap A_2$

Note that for all
$$x \in \mathbb{R}$$
 we have
that both
min $\{ \mathcal{P}_n(x), \mathcal{Y}_n(x) \} \leq \mathcal{P}_n(x) + \mathcal{Y}_n(x) \}$
and
max $\{ \mathcal{P}_n(x), \mathcal{Y}_n(x) \} \leq \mathcal{P}_n(x) + \mathcal{Y}_n(x) \}$
Thus, $\int \min \{ \mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{T}_n \} \leq \int \mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{Y}_n$
and $\int \max \{ \mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{T}_n \} \leq \int \mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{Y}_n$
and $\int \max \{ \mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{T}_n \} \leq \int \mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{Y}_n$
and $\int \max \{ \mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{T}_n \} \leq \int \mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{Y}_n$
So we just need to bound the
sequence $(\int (\mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{T}_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$
This sequence is bounded because it
This sequence is bounded because it
 $This sequence \int \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \mathcal{P}_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{F}_n$
 $= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \mathcal{P}_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \mathcal{T}_n = \int \mathcal{F} + \int \mathcal{G}_n$

$$5(b) Let f \in L'.$$
Then $f = g - h$ where $g, h \in L^{\circ}$.

$$Claim: |f| = \max\{g, h\} - \min\{g, h\}.$$

$$Claim: |f| = \max\{g, h\} - \min\{g, h\}.$$

$$pf of claim: Let x \in IR.$$

$$Case I: Suppose g(x) \leq h(x).$$

$$Case I: Suppose g(x) \leq h(x).$$

$$Then, g(x) - h(x) \leq 0.$$

$$S_{\circ}, \qquad |f(x)| = |g(x) - h(x)| = -(g(x) - h(x))$$

$$= h(x) - g(x)$$

and max $\{9,h\}(x) - \min\{9,h\}(x)$ $= \max\{9(x),h(x)\} - \min\{9(x),h(x)\}$ = h(x) - g(x)

$$\frac{case \ 2:}{Then} Suppose h(x) < g(x),$$

Then, $g(x) - h(x) > 0,$
 $S_{o},$
 $|f(x)| = |g(x) - h(x)| = g(x) - h(x)$

and

$$max \{29,h\}(x) - min\{29,h\}(x)$$

 $max \{29,h\}(x) - min\{29(x),h(x)\}$
 $= max\{29(x),h(x)\} - min\{29(x),h(x)\}$
 $= 9(x) - h(x)$.

Thus in either case we have
Thus in either case we have
that
$$|f| = \max\{9, h\} - \min\{9, h\}$$

that $|f| = \max\{9, h\} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \{9, h\}$
that $\max\{9, h\}$ and $\min\{9, h\}$
that $\max\{9, h\} - \min\{9, h\} \in L$
Thus, $|f| = \max\{9, h\} - \min\{9, h\} \in L$

(5)(c) Let $f, g \in L'$. Then, f-g eL'. By part (b) we have that $|f-g| \in L'$. As in HW 4 problem 5 one can Show that $\min \{f, g\} = \{\pm f + \{\pm g\} - \{\pm f, g\}.$ See HW problem 5 solutions for how to prove this Since L'is closed under addition, Subtraction, and multiplying by a real number we get that $min \{f, g\} \in L^1.$ A similar proof using $\max \{f, g\} = \pm f + \pm g + \pm |f - g|$ shows that max & f, g ? E L'.

(G) Since b>D we have that -b≤b. Thus, there are three possibilities: az-b≤b or -b≤a≤b or -b≤b<a. $mid \{2-b,a,b\} = \begin{cases} -b & \text{if } a < -b \le b \\ a & \text{if } -b \le a \le b \\ b & \text{if } -b \le b < a \end{cases}$ Thus, $= \begin{cases} -b & \text{if } a < -b \\ a & \text{if } -b \leq a \leq b \\ b & \text{if } b < a \end{cases}$ This gives part of the result. $mid \{2-b,a,b\} = max \{2-b,min \{2a,b\}\}.$ Let's now show that $\max\{2-b,\min\{2a,b\}\} = \max\{2-b,a\} = -b$ $= \min\{2-b,a,b\}.$ If a<-b≤b, then If -b≤a≤b, then max {-b, min {a,b}}= max {-b,a}=a = mid 2-b,a,b}

If $-b \le b \le a$, then $\max \{2-b\}, \min \{2a, b\}\} = \max \{-b, b\} = b$ $= \min d \{2-b, a, b\}$ In all three cases we have that $\max \{2-b\}, \min \{\{a, b\}\}\} = \min \{2-b, a, b\}.$ $\max \{2-b\}, \min \{\{a, b\}\}\} = \min \{2-b, a, b\}.$

(7) (a) By the previous HW problem $mid \{2-b_n,a_n,b_n\} = max\{2-b_n,min\{a_n,b_n\}\}$ Since and and brob, by Hw 2 problem 3, min Zan, bn 3 → min Eq, b]. Since -bn→-b and min {a,bn}→min {a,b} by HWZ problem 3, $\max\{-b_n, \min\{a_n, b_n\}\} \rightarrow \max\{-b, \min\{a, b\}\}.$ Thus, by the previous HW problem, $\operatorname{mid} \{ \{ -b_n, a_n, b_n \} \longrightarrow \operatorname{mid} \{ -b_n, a_n, b_n \}$

(7)(b)We have that $\lim_{x \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ for all XEA where A is an almost everywhere set. By problem 7(a) since $\lim_{n \to \infty} g(x) = g(x)$ for all x we have that lim mid $\{2-g(x), f_n(x), g(x)\}$ $= mid \{2-g(x), f(x), g(x)\}$ ntm for all XEA. This proves the result.

(8)(a)Let f and h be measurable. Then from a theorem in class, there exist sequences (fn)n=1 and (hn) =1 where fn EL' and hrel' for all nzl such that hat almost everywhere and $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere. Since fr. EL' and hr. EL' for all nzl, we have that $f_n + h_n \in L'$ for all $n \gtrsim 1$. By HW 6 problem 6, fatha -> fth almost everywhere. Thus, $(f_n + h_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of L' functions with fatha > fth for almost all X. By a theorem in class, fth is measurable.

8 (b) Since
$$f$$
 is measurable,
there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$
of L' functions where
lim $f(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in A$
 $n \to \infty$
where A is an almost everywhere
set.
Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha f(x) = \alpha \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$
 $= \alpha f(x)$

(8)(c) Since f and h are measurable there exists sequences $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and (hn) n=1 of L' functions $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A,$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n(x) = h(x)$ for all $x \in A_2$ where and where A, and Az are almost Thus, A, MAZ is an almost everywhere set from a theorem from class and HW 3. everywhere sets. From HW 2 problem 3, if XEA, NA2 we have that $\lim_{x \to \infty} \min \{f_n(x), h_n(x)\} = \min \{f(x), h(x)\}$

8)()) Do the same proof as 8(c) but replace min by max.

(8)(e) Let f be a measurable function. Let g be a non-negative function with gel. Suppose $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$ for all XEA where A is an almost everywhere set. Since $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in A$ we have that $-g(x) \le f(x) \le g(x)$ for all XEA. Thus, mid $\{2-g(x),f(x),g(x)\} = f(x)$ for all XEA. Because f is measurable and GEL' and GZO we know mid 2-9, f, g 7 E L'.

Since mid ξ -9, f, g $\xi \in L'$ and $f = \min \{\xi - g, f, g\}$ almost everywhere we know that $f \in L'$.

(a) Given
$$n \ge 1$$
, let $f_n = f \cdot X_{(-n,n)}$.
Thus,
 $f_n(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{when } -n \le x \le n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $claim: f_n \in L^1 \text{ for } n \ge 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $claim: f_n \in L^1 \text{ for } n \ge 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $claim: f_n \in L^1 \text{ for } n \ge 1 \\ 1 & \text{charged} \text{ for$

Then, if $n \neq N$ we have that $-n \leq -N \leq x \leq N \leq n$. So, if $n \neq N$, then $f_n(x) = f(x) \cdot \chi_{[-n,n]}(x) = f(x)$ 1

So, if n z N, then $|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f(x| - f(x)|)|$

So, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ claim

Thus, $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of L functions converging to f on all functions. Thus, by a theorem from class of IR. Thus, by a theorem from class f is a measurable function.