

A. Carnevali, D. Czynpinski, G. Menezes, L. Fu, M. Hayes, M. Larios, M. Fryling, N. Sheikh, R. Joseph, R. Tagayuna, R. Vogel, S. Li, S. Keslacy, X. Gao

ABSENT

J. Cleman, S. Ulanoff

EXCUSED ABSENCE

Chair Avramchuk convened the meeting at 1:51 p.m.

Senator Hazra read the Tongva land acknowledgement.

1. 1.1 Chair's announcements:

ANNOUNCEMENTS

1.1.1 Just a reminder that we have our final open forum for the Vice President of Student Affairs and Enrollment Management today, 4:00-5:00p.m. Please attend if you can.

1.1.2 For the May 7, 2024 meeting: 1) we will try to adjourn by 3:30pm so that you can attend the President's 100th Day Celebration; 2) we will have the Organizational meeting for the 2024-25 Senators; 3) please consider running for a Senate officer and Executive Committee seats; and 4) the Executive Committee's Organizational meeting will be at 3:00 p.m. on May 9.

1.1.3 Today's meeting objectives/rules are to focus on POLICY, including a detail-oriented debate on the GE policy item (please formulate an amendments clearly), Questions from the Floor agenda item will be capped at 20 minutes, there will not be Senate Chair's report, and the Student Life presentation has been postponed.

1.2 Senator Ulanoff announced: As a reminder, we will be having the candidates for the AVP of Research position on campus Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. Please come to the open forum if you can.

1.3 Senator Ramos announced: Tomorrow, May 1, is May Day. We will be in the Alhambra Room in the U-SU all day.

1.4 President Eanes provided campus updates and thanked for the body for participating in the 100 Days of Listening Tour and in the executive searches.

2. The following questions/concerns from the floor was raised:

QUESTIONS FROM THE FLOOR

- Is there any update on the faculty lounge that was being created in the library?
- Why are classes not being restored in certain departments such as History and Sociology?
- Were the funds that were restored to the colleges discretionary? If so, how was the allocation done and will unused funds be returned to the state?
- A concern was raised that if too many classes are opened and are under-enrolled, classes can be pulled from a lecturer who was scheduled to teach.
- What are we doing to show our value to our current students and our future prospective students?
- Why are there still calls for lecturers in departments that are cutting back classes?

Responses from the floor were given by Provost Bippus and Senator Vellanoweth (Dean, NSS).

3. 3.1 Chair Avramchuk presented the following response from Provost Amy Bippus to Senator Beamer's intent to raise questions from the meeting of April 23, 2024 (ASM 23-16): Thank you for the question. In my March 26th comments to Senate, I stated that the colleges would receive the same FTES targets and budgets in AY 2024-25 as that have in the prior year. I also shared information on our campus's overall enrollment decline, leading to a lower demand for classes in many areas. As always, Deans, in consultation with department chairs, are responsible for managing the course schedule to meet student need and align with budget priorities. Specific questions about course schedules should be directed to chairs and Deans.

INTENT TO RAISE QUESTIONS

INTENT TO RAISE
QUESTIONS

3.2 There were no new intent to raise questions.

APPROVAL OF THE
MINUTES

4. 4.1 It was m/s/ (DeShazo) to approve the minutes of the meeting of April 23, 2024 (ASM 23-16)
- 4.2 It was m/s/ (Hazra) to correct item 9 to read as follows: A question and discussion period took place, but no questions were raised. No objections were raised.
- 4.3 The minutes were approved as amended.

APPROVAL OF THE
AGENDA

5. 5.1 It was m/s/ (Oropeza) to approve the agenda.
- 5.2 It was m/s/ (Krug) to move item 11 to the first Second-Reading Item. No objections were raised.
- 5.3 It was m/s/ (Flint) to remove item 7 and renumber the remaining items. No objections were raised.
- 5.4 The agenda was approved as amended.

SENATE CHAIR'S REPORT

6. Chair Avramchuk did not present a report.

RECONSIDERATION:
PROPOSED POLICY MOD-
IFICATION: ACCESSIBLE
INSTRUCTIONAL
MATERIALS, FACULTY
HANDBOOK, CHAPTER V
(23-8)
Second-Reading Item
Forwarded to the President

7. 7.1 It was m/s/ (Flint) to rescind the proposal to return the policy to committee.
- 7.2 Debate ensued. The Flint motion passed. (V: 17/11/5)
- 7.3 It was m/s/ (Fisher) in line 76 to delete DISABILITY and replace it with ALTERNATIVE MEDIA. No objections were raised.
- 7.4 It was m/s/ (Oropeza) in line 83-84 to delete electronic and before "information" and insert AND COMMUNICATION after "information". No objections were raised.
- 7.5 It was m/s/ (Fisher) in lines 84-85 to delete INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CONSULTANT (ITC).
- 7.6 Debate ensued. The Fisher motion passed. (V: 24/5/2)
- 7.7 The recommendation was APPROVED. (V: 26/2/4)

PROPOSED POLICY DELE-
TION: INTRODUCTION TO
HIGHER EDUCATION
COURSE, FACULTY HAND-
BOOK, CHAPTER IV (23-11)
Second-Reading Item

8. 8.1 Debate ensued.
- 8.2 The recommendation FAILED. (10/19/2)

PROPOSED POLICY MOD-
IFICATION: DEFINITION,
PHILOSOPHY, STUDENT
LEARNING OUTCOMES
AND CRITERIA FOR
GENERAL EDUCATION
BREADTH REQUIREMENTS,
FACULTY HANDBOOK,
CHAPTER IV (23-9)
Second-Reading Item
Forwarded to the President

9. 9.1 It was m/s/ (Fisher) in line 653 to add ,MINIMUM 3 UNITS after "SCIENCE", in line 654 after "SCIENCE", and in line 656 ,FOR A TOTAL OF 7 UNITS IN AREA 5 after "(AREA 5C)".
- 9.2 Debate ensued. Senator Harris suggested an editorial amendment to add an "S" after COURSE in line 655.
- 9.3 The Fisher motion passed. (V: 33/0/1)

- 9.4 It was m/s/ (Hayes) in line 166 to add THAT CANNOT BE A REQUIRED CO-REQUISITE OF AN AREA 5A OR 5B COURSE after “(AREA 5C)” and line 656 ,WHICH CANNOT BE A REQUIRED CO-REQUISITE FOR AREA 5A OR 5B after “(AREA 5C)”.
- 9.5 Debate ensued.
- 9.6 It was m/s/ (Olson) to replace ,WHICH to THAT in the Hayes motion.
- 9.7 Debate ensued. Senator Hayes called the question. No objections were raised.
- 9.8 The Olson motion passed. (V: 23/8/1)
- 9.9 Debate ensued.
- 9.10 It was m/s/ (Bezdecny) to extend the meeting end time by an additional 10 minutes. An objection was raised. The Bezdecny motion passed. (V: 18/12)
- 9.11 Debate ensued.
10. It was m/s/p (Riggio) to continue item 9 as a Third-Reading Item at the next meeting and to adjourn at 3:57 p.m.

PROPOSED POLICY MODIFICATION: DEFINITION, PHILOSOPHY, STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES AND CRITERIA FOR GENERAL EDUCATION BREADTH REQUIREMENTS, FACULTY HANDBOOK, CHAPTER IV (23-9)
(continued)

ADJOURNMENT