

/

•

Gaussian integers continued...

Theorem: Let Z,V,W be Gaussian integers. If Z is prime in the Gaussian integers and Z/VW, then Z/V or Z/W. proof: online notes Application: Let p be an odd prime in Z. When does p=x+y2 where x,yEZZ ?

Ex: 
$$5 = 2^{7} + 1^{2}$$
  
 $3 = x^{2} + y^{2}$  4 (no integer  
solutions)

Theorem: Let 
$$p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$
  
be an odd prime. Then  
 $p = x^2 + y^2$  has no integer  
solutions  $x, y$ .  
  
proof:  
Suppose  $p = x^2 + y^2$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$   
Then in  $\mathbb{Z}_{Y}$  we would have  
 $\overline{p} = \overline{x}^2 + \overline{y}^2$ .  
  
Note that if  $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{Y}$ 

then 
$$\overline{a}^2 = \overline{0}$$
 or  $\overline{a}^2 = \overline{1}$   
by the following table   
This implies that  
 $\overline{x}^2 + \overline{y}^2 = \overline{0}$   
 $\sqrt[3]{x^2 + \overline{y}^2} = \overline{1}$   
 $\sqrt[3]{x^2 + \overline{y}^2} = \overline{1}$   
 $\sqrt[3]{x^2 + \overline{y}^2} = \overline{2}$   
But  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , so  $\overline{p} = \overline{3}$  in Zy  
So,  $\overline{p} = \overline{x}^2 + \overline{y}^2$  is impossible.

Theorem: Let 
$$p \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 be an  
odd prime with  $p \equiv l \pmod{4}$ .  
Then  $p = x^2 + y^2$  has integer  
solutions.

$$\frac{p(oof:}{From Topic 5, since p \equiv 1 (m \circ d 4)}$$
  
From Topic 5, since p \equiv 1 (m \circ d 4)  
there exists  $\overline{X} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{x}$  where  
 $\overline{x}^{2} \equiv -1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{x}$ .  
So,  $\overline{x}^{2} \equiv -1 (m \circ d p)$ .  
So,  $\overline{x}^{2} \equiv -1 (m \circ d p)$ .  
Thus,  $\overline{x}^{2} \pm 1 \equiv pk$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
Then,  $(x \pm i)(x \pm i) \equiv pk$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Thus,  $p \mid (x+i)(x-i)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Claim: p is not prime in Z[i]. Pf of claim: If p was prime in Z[i] then either P(X+i) or P(X-i) in Z(i). But then either  $\frac{X+\lambda}{P}$  or  $\frac{X-\lambda}{P}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ So either  $\frac{x}{p} + \frac{1}{p}$ , or  $\frac{x}{p} - \frac{1}{p}$ , is in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . But p & Z, so the above is not true. - claim ] -

Since p is not prime in Z(i)  
We know that p has a divisor  

$$z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$
 where z is not  
a unit and not an associate of p.  
 $\overline{Z \neq 1, -1, -i, i}, p, -p, -ip, ip$   
unit associates upp  
Then  $p = \mathbb{Z}k$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .  
Thus,  $N(p) = N(\mathbb{Z}k)$ .  
 $p = p + 0i$   
 $N(p) = p^2 + 0^2 = p^2$   
Su,  $p^2 = N(\mathbb{Z})N(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $f(p) = \frac{p^2}{2}$ 

These are non-negative  
integers in Z  
Since p is prime in Z the  
above gives three possibilities:  
(i) 
$$N(z)=1$$
,  $N(k)=p^2$   
(ii)  $N(z)=p$ ,  $N(k)=p$   
(iii)  $N(z)=p^2$ ,  $N(k)=1$   
But (i) can't hold because  
Z is not a unit so  $N(z)\neq 1$ .  
If (iii) was true then  $N(k)=1$   
gives k is a unit and then  
 $p=zk$  would give  $z=k^2p$   
which gives Z is an associate  
of p which isn't true.

Thus, (iii) is true,  
So, 
$$N(z) = P$$
,  
Suppose  $z = x + iy$ .  
Then  $x^2 + y^2 = P$ .  
 $N(z)$ 



