**Executive Committee Meeting Minutes**

Thursday, **May 9, 2019**

Time: **12:45 PM-2:45 PM**

Place: **SA 110**

Present: S. Burstein, M. Cates, S. Felszeghy, A. Gonzalez, M. Huld, S. Ladochy, D. Margaziotis, K. Reilly, W. Taylor

Absent: P. Brier, J. Cleman, J. Fisher-Hoult, D. Keane, J. Kirchner, E. Roberts, B. Sinclair, F. Stahl, A. Winnick

1. Announcements

1.1 There is a question about whether staff can join the association (see new agenda

item)

1.2 Bill distributed the 1963 program from the Cal Poly Pomona vs. Los Angeles Diablos

football game and wondered if we want to put it in an archive.

1.3 Alfredo reported that there will be a May 16 meeting of the fellowship reviewers to

make the final recommendations.

1. Approval of Agenda
   1. M/S/P to approve the agenda with the following addition: 5.1.2 Request of P.Neiman to become a member of the association

3.0       Approval of the Minutes:

3.1 M/S/P to approve the minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting: April 27, 2019

with the following change: 4.6.2 change word alumni to emeriti; change under time

certain 10:30: The group to plan the fall retreat includes Stan Burstein, ex officio.

3.2 M/S/P to accept the minutes of the Annual Business Meeting: April 27, 2019

(Approval would have to occur at the next annual meeting)

4.0       Officer and Committee Reports and Recommendations

4.1       Treasurer: Marshall Cates

4.1.1 Marshall reported the accounts were as follows: $6,842.03 in the credit

union, $6,728.38 in UAS, and $20,050.75 in the FCU savings. The Life-

Long Learning balance is $7,869.55. There were 2 donors. The Spring

luncheon broke even as there were 5 no shows and their paid meals

covered the meal expenses of the guests. Marshall checked on the expense

of the “equipment” (which included table linens from past) and the

projector and microphone. He was able to obtain adjustments to reduce the

cost of these items.

4.2 Fiscal Policy Chair: Marshall Cates

4.2.1 The fiscal committee has not met to make the recommendations concerning

reducing the cost of the Fall luncheon meals for fellowship reviewers,

making the projection for money available for next year’s fellowships, and

meeting with the appropriate person from the Foundation to discuss our

concerns about the investments from our association.

4.3 Website: Dimitri Margaziotis

4.3.1 Everything is up-to-date on the website, including the pictures from the

Spring luncheon.

4.3.2 Stan has received photos of new emeriti from the President’s office. There

was some discussion concerning whether to contact the President’s

office to see if the biographies and photos of new emeriti can be made

available to us with possible inclusion in our website.

4.4 Academic Senate: Nancy Hunt – no report but anecdotal information was that the

vote of No Confidence in the President was defeated by the one vote of Chair who

needed to break the tie.

TIME CERTAINS:

2:00 Nomination of Academic Senate Representatives

John Cleman and Nancy Hunt were nominated. It was M/S/P to appoint Nancy

Hunt for a two-year term and John Cleman for one year term.

2:15 Parking Report

There was no written report of the committee, but Marshall reported that there

were two issues: 1) The original process of submitting monthly a list of attendees of

exec meetings was not workable. (this has since been resolved by the submission of

a master list which should be a permanent reference in the kiosk for Lot #4. )

2) It was unacceptable to have non-committee member emeriti stop at the kiosk at

Lot #4 to receive a pass for the luncheons.

Stan explained the current arrangement for emeriti exec meetings and for those who

attend the luncheons or other special meetings. They may receive a permit for Lot

#4 at the kiosk as long as there is no other special event scheduled there.

There was discussion about whether a group of exec members should meet with the

university Administrator (Lisa Chavez) or with the Director of Parking (Carmen

Gachupin) to our express our concerns about the new policy concerning parking

in Lot #4. It is a question of whether we want to express our displeasure and

concern about the way the new policy was implemented or just make changes to the

practice now in place. After the defeat of the motion to have a committee meet with

the appropriate person to discuss the issues, Bill suggested we re-read the Faculty

Handbook by the next meeting to clarify exactly what is stated about emeriti

Parking privileges. It was M/S/P to agendize the topic.

5.1 New Business

5.1.1 Len’s Plaque – no action as a vendor still needs to be identified.

5.1.2 P. Neiman request to become an emeriti association member

Discussion concerned the criteria for becoming a non-faculty associate

member. Our Constitution describes ways a non-faculty can become a member,

but the criteria need more specificity. M/S/P to agendize discussion of criteria

first then consider the individual request.

6.0 Old Business

6.1.1 Measures to stimulate interest in the Emeriti Biographies Project – no action

6.1.2 Emeriti Involvement in Campus Address to Student Homelessness and Undernourishment –no action

6.1.3 Fellowship Criteria – deleted in future as topic discussed under fellowship report

7.0 Adjournment

7.1 M/S/P to adjourn at 2:30 p.m.

submitted by Kathy Reilly