

Participant:			
Reviewer:			

Cal State LA Library Prize for Research Excellence Scoring Rubric

	Excellent (4-5 points)	Competent (2-3 points)	Developing (0-1 point/s)	Comments	Score
Research/ Paper Topic	Topic aligns with scope of the paper/suited for assignment.	Topic/research question requires more refinement.	Topic/research question does not align with scope of paper.		
	Argument is well developed.	Arguments needs development.	Argument is not clear.		
	Research questions and significance are clear and compelling.	Research questions are clear, but need development.	Paper does not address significant/compelling research questions.		
Quality of Primary/ Archival Sources	Sources relate directly to topic/research question.	Majority of sources relate, but some are less relevant.	Majority of sources do not directly relate to the topic.		
	Sources represent varied formats, viewpoints and relevant information.	Sources demonstrate some variety in format or viewpoint.	Sources lack variety in format or perspective/viewpoint.		

Use of Primary/ Archival Sources	Sources are adequately incorporated throughout the paper.	Sources are somewhat integrated.	Sources are poorly integrated.	
	Sources are well analyzed and author provides own ideas and insights.	Sources are analyzed and original insights are sufficient.	Sources are not analyzed and original insights are limited or lacking.	
Quality of Writing and Organization	Paper is well organized.	Paper is mostly organized, but has some inconsistencies in format.	Paper lacks organization and attention to format.	
	Paper is generally free of errors or inconsistencies.	Paper has minor errors or inconsistencies.	Paper has many errors and inconsistencies.	
	Communicates ideas persuasively.	Communicates ideas sufficiently.	Communicates minimal information.	
References/ Citations	Author cites according to rules of required style.	Generally adheres to rules, but there are formatting errors.	Author does not adhere to general rules of citation.	

Total Score