Chair Water-Perez convened the meeting at 1:46 p.m.

1. Chair’s Announcements:

1.1 Since our last Senate meeting, President Covino has approved the following Senate recommendations:

- Proposed Policy Deletion: Evaluation of Faculty Active in Interdisciplinary Programs, Faculty Handbook, Chapter VI, effective fall 2016
- Proposed Policy Deletion: The General Education Honors Program, Faculty Handbook, Chapter IV, effective fall 2016
- Proposed New Policy: Additional Requirements for Post-Baccalaureate Certificate Programs, Faculty Handbook, Chapter IV, effective spring 2017

The Faculty Handbook has been updated to include the approved policies that are effective this semester.

1.1.2 At our last meeting, we unanimously approved the Cal State LA Reaffirmation of Campus Values, however, there was no motion to move it ahead of the approval of the minutes. Given the unanimous approval of the statement and the urgency of sharing it with the campus community as evidenced by the vote to waive the rules to make it a second reading item, the Executive Committee agreed by Executive Action to forward it in advance of approval of the minutes.

1.1.3 The Executive Committee is accepting nominations of faculty interested in serving on the three-person ad hoc committee to make recommendations to the Executive Committee and President for the Senate Distinguished Lecture on Engagement, Service, and the Public Good. One of the faculty members will be a senator who will serve as a liaison to the Academic Senate and will report to the Senate via the Executive Committee. The Director of the Center for Engagement, Service, and the Public Good will serve as an ex-officio, non-voting member. We’d like to convene this committee as soon as possible. The work of this committee typically requires a few meetings. If you are interested in serving on this committee, please send an email to the Academic Senate indicating your interest and any relevant expertise. A call will also be going out to all faculty.

1.2 Senator Ackerman yielded to Priscilla Leiva who announced: Regarding Our Campuses as Sanctuaries and Notes from American Studies Association Meeting on November 19 in Denver, CO. Attendees at this meeting came from a range of public and private institutions across the country. This also includes a range from community colleges to Research I universities. It was quite clear that what sanctuary means and the types of protections institutions could offer their students would vary. For Cal State LA, our Academic Senate’s Reaffirmation of Values certainly aids in restoring a campus climate of tolerance. Our chancellor stating that the California State University system will not aid in the enforcement of federal immigration laws is an important next step. I would like to inform the Senate of some of the questions faculty across the country are considering as we think about the policies Cal State LA will implement. Several institutions are now exploring what is legally possible to protect students,
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faculty and staff. For example, is it possible to protect students’ confidentiality and
shield access to their information? Can we stop collecting immigration or
citizenship status? What support structure will allow students to complete their
degrees in the case of detainment or deportation? Are there funds that can be made
available to students regardless of their immigration status? Can we transform
work-study jobs into internships with stipends? One helpful model and point of
collaboration is to look at how New York institutions sought to protect student
information after 9/11. These are only some of the questions that faculty are
pressuring their institutions to further explore.

At Cal State L.A, we might consider how we can capitalize on the fact that we are
already on a supportive campus. In addition to undocumented students, what kinds
of specific protections do we need to provide for Muslim students and other
LGBTQ and students of color? What steps must be taken so we can continue
supporting populations that we very soon should not name or identify? As
universities across the country work to protect their students, staff and faculty,
hopefully we might work toward some concrete strategies for making their safety a
reality.

1.3 Senator Prabhu announced: Please share the following with all your students; We
have a workshop titled “Know Your Rights Workshop for Students and Their
Families” next Monday, November 28th from 6 pm – 8 pm, at King Hall Lecture
Hall 2. Immigration attorneys from CHIRLA (Coalition for Humane Immigrant
Rights of Los Angeles) and NILC (National Immigration Law Center) will be
available to provide information and to answer questions. For more upcoming
events please check out: [http://www.calstatela.edu/postelection/events](http://www.calstatela.edu/postelection/events)

1.4 Senator Prabhu announced: At our next meeting we will be accepting nominations
to serve on the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee (four tenured faculty for each
committee but no more than two from the same college) for the selection of two
positions: Associate Vice President for Research and Associate Vice President
and Dean of Undergraduate Studies. The work of these committees will begin
in spring 2017.

CONCERNS FROM THE
FLOOR

2. None.

INTENT TO RAISE
QUESTIONS

3. None.

APPROVAL OF THE
MINUTES

4. It was m/s/p (Prabhu) to approve the minutes of the meeting of November 15, 2016
(ASM 16-10).

APPROVAL OF THE
AGENDA

5. 5.1 It was m/s/ (Heubach to approve the agenda.

5.2 It was m/s/p (Roy) to add “Incentivizing Research-Active Faculty at California
State University, Los Angeles” as a First-Reading Item and new item 15.

5.3 The agenda was approved as amended.

SENATE CHAIR’S REPORT

6. Chair Warter-Perez presented her report.

PROVOST’S REPORT

7. Provost Mahoney presented her report.

NOMINATIONS AND
ELECTION OF ACADEMIC
SENATE SECRETARY

8. 8.1 Chair Warter-Perez reminded the body that the Secretary position is vacant and
that nominations will consist of current at-large Executive Committee members.

8.2 It was m/s/ (Riggio) to nominate Cari Flint.

8.3 By acclamation, C. Flint was elected to serve as Academic Senate Secretary.
9. It was m/s/p (Prabhu) to approve the document 16-12.

10. 10.1 Chair Warter-Perez reported that the title of the document changed after the agenda was sent to the Academic Senate.

10.2 It was m/s/p (Prabhu) to change the title to “Post-Election Academic Senate Resolution”.

10.3 It was m/s/ (Ackerman) to approve the recommendation.

10.4 A five minute question and discussion period took place.

11. 11.1 It was m/s/ (Heubach) to approve the recommendation (16-8).

11.2 A five minute question and discussion period took place.

12. 12.1 It was m/s/ (Nelson) to approve the recommendation (16-9).

12.2 A five minute question and discussion period took place.

13. 13.1 It was m/s/ (Riggio) to approve the recommendation (16-10).

13.2 A five minute question and discussion period took place.

14. It was m/s/ (Prabhu) to approve the recommendation (16-11).

15. 15.1 It was m/s/ (Prabhu) to approve the recommendation.

15.2 A five minute question and discussion period took place.

16. It was m/s/p (Prabhu) to adjourn at 3:04 p.m.