Present: M. Clark, P. Chen, D. Johnson, A. Reed, C. Uyeki, M. Zepeda

Excused: L. Bermudez, B. Hibbs

Absent: J. Hong, A. Ross

1. Call to Order
   The meeting was called to order by M. Clark, Chair, at 1:40 p.m.

2. Announcements
   None.

3. Intent to Raise Questions
   M. Zepeda raised the following question:
   
   Can we have specific details on the Use of Golden Eagles Facilities by Clubs and organizations?

4. Approval of the Minutes of the Meeting on October 27, 2005
   M/s/p to approve the minutes with corrections.

5. Report from Committee and Subcommittee

   5.1. Executive Committee Liaison Report
   M. Zepeda reported WASC accreditation is coming in the next 4-5 years from now and is being discussed at the administrative level.

   Outcomes Assessment Proposals have been sent out. There will be $2000 available for about 6 projects to be funded. The deadline is November 15.

   M. Zepeda announced the Senate would like feedback on the Faculty Retreat in order to determine whether or not to fund another retreat in the spring quarter.

   $200,000 is available for distribution among the College Advisement Centers.
GET is still on the Academic Senate’s agenda with regards to the lack of communication between faculty and staff.

5.2. **Academic Advisement Subcommittee Liaison Report**
P. Chen reported on the security issues faculty face.

6. **Approval of the Agenda**

M/s/p to approve the agenda with the addition of the following items:

5.1 Executive Committee Liaison Report
5.2 Academic Advisement Subcommittee Liaison Report

7. **Business**

7.1 **Revision of Academic Honesty Forms**
The Academic Honesty Resolution Form will be changed to read “Academic Dishonesty Resolution Form.”

7.2 **Confidentiality of Academic Honesty Policy Revisions**
M. Clark summarized her meeting with V. King, University Counsel, A. Ross, Vice President for Student Affairs, and L. Gomez, Judicial Affairs Officer, regarding clarification of the Confidentiality of Academic Honesty Policy. V. King agreed there needs to be clarification as to the sharing of student disciple records and to specifically state who needs to know and how to make the information available. Legally, the university is not able to flag “discipline students.”

The burden of proof lies on the faculty to establish the “need to know” and why. V. King states the policy as it is currently written does not preclude faculty from sharing information amongst them.

The policy should be very clear as to the reasons why faculty “need to know” and to explain further, that there be a precipitating incident as to why the need to share information on previous discipline matters with the faculty.

8. **Adjournment**

M/s/p to adjourn at 3:05 p.m.