Chair Prabhu convened the meeting at 1:48 p.m.

1. **Chair’s announcements:**

1.1 There’s more great news about our campus! Cal State LA continues an impressive rise as one of the nation’s top regional universities in the U.S. News & World Report 2020 Best College Rankings. Cal State LA ranks 31st among all private and public regional universities in the western United States, jumping 21 spots from the previous year. In the past six years, the University has risen 65 spots among all private and public regional universities in the western United States.

1.2 Cari Flint, Communication Disorders, was elected to serve as the Academic Senate Secretary for a one year term. Kris Bezdecny, Geosciences and Environment, being the first ranked alternate, has agreed to serve as an At-large member in the Academic Senate Executive Committee for a one-year term.

1.3 The following faculty members have accepted appointment by the Nominations Committee to serve on the President’s Ad hoc Advisory Committee on Honorary Degrees:
- Somak Banerjee, Marketing
- Steven Frenda, Psychology
- Elaine Wittenberg, Communication Studies
- Mario Ramirez, Library

1.4 2019-20 Assessment Mini Grants: Ten $2000 mini grants are available for teams of two or more faculty. The proposal is due October 1. If interested, please attend any one of the following Mini Grant Information Sessions:
- Friday, September 13, 1-2 p.m.; Monday, September 16, 12–1 p.m.;
- Wednesday, September 18, 2-3 p.m.; Thursday September 19, 10-11 a.m.

1.2 Senator Rodriguez announced: I wanted to share some good news! Because of the support of all the CSU campuses and especially those with Academic Senate resolutions in support of increasing CSU ECC funding, our ECC budget was increased from $5M to $6M. Although it’s not the $10M we requested, it’s a good sign that the CO understands the importance of library e-resources. I, along with the other CSU Library Deans, will continue our advocacy efforts to increase awareness of the importance of increasing CO funding for e-collections that are needed to support our faculty and students.

2. **None.**

3. Senator Riggio announced her intent to raise the following question: Cal State LA Academic Senate approved a campus policy on Intellectual Property that was signed by the President in September, 2014. The policy was not put into the Faculty Handbook, however, because of directives from the Chancellor’s Office that Intellectual Property was a bargaining issue to be worked out with CFA. Negotiations with CFA have apparently ceased. The Chancellor’s Office is now asserting that they are developing a statewide CSU policy on Intellectual Property, and that campuses should not develop their own policies. I am concerned that faculty at Cal State LA are not being protected in the interim; and I am wondering why we cannot institute the policy we approved and the President signed. Any policy can be subsequently modified if needed according to any statewide policy. My question is can we finally enter the approved Intellectual Property policy into the Faculty Handbook instead of waiting indefinitely for a statewide policy? Why must we wait for a statewide policy?
APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

4. It was m/s/p (Riggio) to approve the minutes of the meeting of August 27, 2019 (ASM 19-2).

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

5. It was m/s/p (Bezdecny) to approve the agenda.

SENATE CHAIR’S REPORT

6. Chair Prabhu presented her report.

PROPOSED POLICY DELETION: ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS REQUIRING FORMAL CONSULTATION, FACULTY HANDBOOK, CHAPTER III (18-18)

7. The recommendation was APPROVED. (V: 44/2)

PROPOSED POLICY MODIFICATION: THE CLASS SYLLABUS, FACULTY HANDBOOK, CHAPTER V (18-19)

8. It was m/s/p (Bezdecny) to delete in lines 13-14 campus telephone extension, and and insert after “address”, AND OPTIONALLY CAMPUS TELEPHONE EXTENSION. (V: 43/3)

8.1 Forwarded to the President
8.2 It was m/s/ (Warter-Perez) to delete lines 4-6: Each instructor shall provide information at the first class session as to the general requirements and goals of the course, and the general criteria upon which students will be evaluated in that course, and replace second with FIRST in line 8.
8.3 Debate ensued. It was m/s/f (Ellis) with a substitute motion to add in line 8 after “meeting.” IF A REVISION NEEDS TO BE MADE TO THE SYLLABUS, A FINAL VERSION SHOULD BE PROVIDED NO LATER THAT THE SECOND MEETING.
8.4 The Warter-Perez motion passed. (V: 26/21).
8.5 It was m/s/ (Chavez) to add in line 48: 13. A STATEMENT REGARDING UNIVERSITY POLICIES AND RESOURCES THAT INCLUDES REFERENCE TO THE ASI WEBSITE.
8.6 Debate ensued and the Chavez motion failed. (V: 16/34).
8.7 Debate ensued and it was m/s/p (Warter-Perez) to return the policy back to the Educational Policy Committee. (V: 38/10).

PROPOSED POLICY MODIFICATION: GUIDELINES FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE DISTINGUISHED VISITING FACULTY PROFESSORS PROGRAM, FACULTY HANDBOOK, CHAPTER VI (19-1)

9. It was m/s/p (Allen) to insert in line 30 after “FACULTY.” UNTENURED FACULTY MAY BE MEMBERS OF SUCH A COMMITTEE WITH THE PERMISSION OF THE PRESIDENT.

9.1 Returned to Committee
9.2 Debate ensued and the Allen motion passed. (V: 44/5)
9.3 It was m/s/p (Essig) to insert VISITING in line 7 after “SUBSEQUENT”.
9.4 Senator Riggio suggested an editorial amendment in line 7 to replace UNTENURED with PROBATIONARY. No objections were raised.
9.5 The recommendation was APPROVED. (V: 46/1)
10. 10.1 It was m/s/ (Pitt) to replace affirm with CONCERN in line 7.

10.2 Debate ensued and it was m/s/ (Pitt) with a substitute motion in lines 7-8 to read: Whereas the Universal Declaration of Human Rights affirms the universal dignity of each person;

10.3 No objections were raised against the substitute motion and the substitute motion passed. (V: 42/2)

10.4 The recommendation was APPROVED. (V: 48/1)

11. 11.1 It was m/s/ (Seals) to delete in line 21 TENURED OR PROBATIONARY.

11.2 Debate ensued.

11.3 It was m/s/p (Hunt) to continue this as a Second-Reading Item.

12. It was m/s/p (Riggio) to adjourn the meeting at 3:49 p.m.