1. **Faculty Trustee Sabalius** reported on the Governor’s budget proposal. It is disappointing given the positive fiscal status of California. The proposal is $451m less than the Board’s proposal. The Governor appears to oppose any tuition increases, making this shortfall even more concerning. (Note: it would fund modest proposed compensation increases and mandatory cost increases but not enrollment growth, infrastructure, GI 2025, etc.) We all need to advocate for additional funding from our elected leaders. There is neither one-time money nor recurring money for deferred maintenance and new construction.

The proposed education bond may generate $2b for the system but would still fall short of our $4b need for deferred maintenance, much less new construction.

The 4th year of quantitative reasoning admissions policy change will appear as an action item next week but it has been significantly altered—there will be a study conducted relative to its potential impact and a final vote will not be taken for over two years.

2. The ASCSU passed the following second reading items. Copies of these and other resolutions can be found at [http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Resolutions/](http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Resolutions/).

   a. **Notification of Tenure-Track Openings to Incumbent Contingent Faculty, Librarians, Coaches & Counselors** urges the establishment and publicity of a database of contingent faculty across the system that are interested in pursuing tenure-track positions within the CSU and to also publicize existing career resources to help these individual pursue these employment opportunities.

   b. **Creation of an Ad Hoc Committee to Advance Equity, Diversity and Inclusion Within the Academic Senate CSU** urges the creation of such a committee to examine many of our practices for potential adverse impacts on equity, diversity and inclusion with the expectation that there will be annual reports to the Executive Committee of their findings.

   c. **Recommended Implementation of an Ethnic Studies System Requirement** recommends the adoption of a system-wide learning outcomes and curricular guidelines. In essence, it recommends adopting a 3-unit course or course overlay requirement in Ethnic Studies for all students in the lower-division and an upper-division reflection requirement that may be met with some flexibility by the campuses.

3. The ASCSU passed the following resolution after waiving a second reading. Normally first reading items are distributed to campuses for feedback. However, if the ASCSU deems an item to be urgent (e.g. the need to provide input before a policy or piece of legislation is being considered) it may waive the second reading. Copies of this and other resolutions can be found at [http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Resolutions/](http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Resolutions/).

   a. **Appointment of Academic Senate CSU (ASCSU) Seats** reflects an annual process by which the largest seven campuses are allocated a third representative to the body.

4. We introduced the following resolutions that will be considered for adoption at our March plenary. Copies of these resolutions should be available shortly for campus review.

   a. **Affirming the Role of the CSU Board of Trustees in Adopting Rules, Regulations and Policies Governing the University** is a response to ongoing governmental attempts to
circumvent the Board in mandating policy for the CSU. It reminds readers that 21 of 25 members are either directly elected by the people of the state of California or nominated by the Governor and affirmed by the Senate. Three other members are appointed by the Governor. The resolution goes on to affirm support for the Board’s policy-making role.

b. Academic Senate CSU (ASCSU) Endorsement of the American Association of University Professors (AAUP) Statement “In Defense of Knowledge and Higher Education” is self-explanatory. *In Defense of Knowledge and Higher Education*

c. Opposition to AB 1930—CSU/UC Admission Policy asserts that the restrictions contained in this bill would hamper the Board of Trustees’ ability to set policy to meet the needs of our students.

d. Addition of Dedicated Contingent Faculty Senate Members anticipates an amendment of the ASCSU constitution to add 3 full-time lecturer faculty to the body on a rotating basis from the campuses.

e. Advising High School Juniors Intending to Enroll in the California State University (CSU) to Enroll in a Mathematics-Reinforcing Course in Their Senior Year complements the current proposed additional quantitative reasoning admissions requirement currently before the Board of Trustees. It calls for the development of learning outcomes appropriate for such a course and strong advice to students, parents and counselors that such a course be taken.

f. Resolution in Support of ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor ID) for the California State University (CSU) ORCID is a not-for-profit group which facilitates collaboration and research by providing accurate and self-modifiable information in an easy to access database.

g. CSU Transfer Model Curricula (TMC) and UC Transfer Pathway (UCTP) Alignment encourages exploration of potential alignment of major transfer patterns by the discipline faculty groups constituted within the C-ID project.

h. Resources to Support California State University (CSU) Faculty Participation in the Course-Identification Numbering System (C-ID) Process urges adequate support for staff and faculty to accomplish the important work of implementation and maintenance of transfer model curricular (which are the basis for the Associate Degrees for Transfer).

i. Endorsement of Criteria for Chemistry and Physics Model Curricula (MC) for Transfer to Receive the Same Admission Advantage as for Transfer Model Curricula (TMC) It is likely more advisable for students in these majors to take these other sets of courses prior to transfer than to complete an Associate Degree for Transfer. The resolution endorses the principle that students who take a more appropriate pathway should be encouraged to do so by receiving the same admissions advantage to impacted programs that students completing an ADT receive.

j. Request for Review and Update of Intersegmental Curricular Processes urges the Intersegmental Committee of Academic Senates (ICAS) to address some problems encountered in the operation of the C-ID (major transfer and articulation) and other intersegmental projects.

6. Kevin Wehr (CFA Vice-President) reported the following.
   • We have collaborated with ASCSU committees.
   • We are grateful for the additional funding in the Governor’s budget but are still hopeful for much-needed additional funding. We will strongly advocate for increased funding.
   • We will advocate for more TT and counselor hiring.
   • CFA has reservations about the QR policy currently in front of the Board.
• As a result of the bargaining survey of faculty across the system, we will be focusing on
  o Restoring respect and support for higher education
  o Access, equity and social justice
  o Increased compensation for faculty
• Socially-conscious investing principles on the part of CAL-PERS (avoiding for-profit prisons)
  was implemented in no small part due to the efforts of CFA.
• An extensive conversation related to lecturer to TT conversion, clinical faculty classifications,
  and other issues related to faculty status was undertaken.
• There was also a conversation around AB 1460 (Weber—Ethnic Studies) and the respective
  roles of CFA and ASCSU in dealing with that legislation.
• There are ways to address significant systemic salary inequities on each campus under the
  current contract.

7. **Loren Blanchard, EVC for Academic and Student Affairs** thanked the Senate for our work on
the Ethnic Studies requirement. The administration continues to oppose AB 1460 as unnecessary
legislative intrusion and because its focus on only four ethnic areas seems overly narrow. We
continue our work on the implementation of the doctorate in occupational therapy (CSUDH and
SJSU are the first two campuses to implement). We will be making a report on research,
scholarship and creative activity at the Board next week (due to the unexpectedly great amount of
time spent on the QR proposal it has been postponed until next week). The QR item will be an
action item but not a Title 5 (policy) change. We are suggesting a study with a 3rd party group to
analyze potential impacts before recommending a change in admissions requirements two years’
hence. We hope to work with more high schools and junior highs in developing summer programs
to increase student readiness for college, particularly in QR. The Wang awards will be presented at
the Board next week. We will have to focus on advocacy to try and secure a more favorable budget
than the Governor’s initial proposal. Issues like QR preparation and elements of the curriculum like
Ethnic Studies remain responsibilities of the faculty, which then can be codified in Title 5 by the
Board. We are concerned about legislative intrusion into our curricular/academic decisions. We are
committed to working with school districts, community college colleges and other parties across
the state as we go forward to address the QR preparation of our incoming students. We are
supportive of the teacher/scholar model for our TT faculty. In our graduation initiatives we also
have a focus on student wellness: food security, housing security and mental health. We will be
hosting an intersegmental wellness conference to address these issues across the state and
segments.

8. **Trustee Jane W. Carney** stressed the following priorities: 1) addressing food insecurity (CSU is
taking a lead nationally), student affordable housing (the bond proposal, if passed, would explicitly
direct us to address this), 2) GI 2025 (we are reviewing campus progress to get a better handle on
effective strategies and associated costs—we hope to implement successful campus strategies more
broadly), and 3) the Governor’s proposed budget (falls far short of the Board request and does not
reflect his stated desire to do multi-year budgets for state-funded agencies).

In response to questions from ASCSU: We need to find ways for the Board and the faculty to
interact more directly, effectively.
Regardless of what happens on the current QR proposal, we need to emphasize outreach to those 800 or so HS seniors (about 7% of current applicants) coming to the CSU who are currently not taking a fourth year of quantitative reasoning.

We need to also address affordable housing for faculty. The San Diego project has faculty housing as a part of the development plan.

9. **Chancellor Tim White** began by speaking about the budget. Even though our state appropriation is proposed to increase by 5%, it reflects only a 2 ½% CSU budget increase (Note: this is because the CSU has no plans, nor much support, for a tuition increase. Tuition and fees generate roughly half of our budget.)

Dr. White has been asked to participate in an NCAA task force dealing with the recent phenomenon of allowing student athletes to receive monetary compensation for the use of their name, image and likeness. It is a complex issue that probably needs to be addressed at a national, rather than a state level.

There appears to be no organized opposition to the education bond (Prop 13), which would ask for $4 billion for infrastructure maintenance and repair across the education system, with $2 billion for the CSU.

The $35m allocated for new TT hires over a couple of years should ultimately be spent on new TT hires but this is a lot of churn and fluctuation in positions on campuses so that in a given year the not all of the funds earmarked for that purpose may not be allocated. We are awaiting a final report from the campuses on T/TT numbers and spending on TT hires.

In response to AB 1862 (tuition waiver for CCC transfers to the CSU): elected leaders in CA often see the higher education budget as unitary. In reality, there are four large “buckets” to receive funding—UC, CSU, CCC and financial aid. Unfortunately, many initiatives eventually translate into just moving money from one bucket to another rather than increasing support for public higher education overall. Also unfortunately, only ten percent of the state budget is “discretionary,” meaning not governed by legislation or other mandates.

10. **Jacquelyn Acosta (CSSA Liaison)** reported that at their November plenary they adjusted the officers delineated in the constitution—the ASCSU representative will not be a regular officer. They are preparing for CHESS (student leadership conference in Sacramento) and are focusing on student needs and lobbying for more funding for the CSU during the associated legislative visits. CSSA has questions about the Ethnic Studies resolution. The observed debate and discussion held at ASCSU regarding the Ethnic Studies resolution was very enlightening.

11. **Sue Holl (ERFSAL Liaison)** ERFSA is focusing on increasing membership. They are working to affect CAL-PERS decision-making on behalf of all of us. The ERFSA website has many valuable resources for retirees/those planning to retire. [http://csuerfa.org/](http://csuerfa.org/) The ERFSA newsletter can be found at [https://csuerfsa.org/images/pdfs/Reporter-Sep-2019.pdf](https://csuerfsa.org/images/pdfs/Reporter-Sep-2019.pdf)

**Based on personal notes and expert notes taken by Senators John Tarjan and Janet Millar (Bakersfield) shared with ASCSU.**