

M. Abed, M. Auwal, J. Cleman, D. Czynski, J. Garrison, R. Rubio

ABSENT

B. Cerqueira, B. Hoffman

EXCUSED ABSENCE

Chair Prabhu convened the meeting at 1:49 p.m.

1. 1.1 Chair's announcements:

ANNOUNCEMENTS

1.1.1 On May 6, 2019 President Covino approved the following policy proposals – effective immediately:

Modification: Appointment of Administrators – Formal and Informal
Consultation: Ad Hoc Advisory Committees, Faculty Handbook, Chapter III
Modification: Retired Faculty – Emeritus Status, Faculty Handbook, Chapter VIII

1.1.2 Nancy Warter-Perez was elected as the Senate representative to serve on the Ad Hoc Committee for the Dean of the College of Business and Economics.

2. Senator Marmolejo raised the following concern from the floor:

CONCERNS FROM THE FLOOR

I am concerned that President Covino issued a statement via email to the senate containing misleading information including but not limited to:

1. Saying that the money the school allocated from the 300 million dollar budget is not enough to accommodate for over enrolled students. Even though we supposedly have insufficient funds, he never states that when students were advocating for the budgets approval, CSU presidents decided to prioritize executive salary raises, potentially boosting their salaries above the expense of living for a university president.
2. The email says king hall classrooms renovated but there are still plenty of rooms with roofing falling apart. The email also forgets to state that despite King Hall being in desperate need of maintenance, administration decided to move their offices to the newly renovated Physical Sciences building so that their Administration Building can be renovated next, prioritizing their offices aesthetics over the safety of King Hall students. Both of these times show again and again, administration continues to misallocate our school funds by placing their wants above student needs.
3. I hope that you do what administration has failed to do. I hope senators vote with the well being of their students in mind. Our campus will not benefit from having administrators who consistently disregard shared governance and silence the voices of students, faculty, and community members. We realize that the removal of three administrators is a big decision, but instead of letting fear rule your judgment, we encourage this senate to see the ways the school can be improved with new administrators in place.

3. 3.1 Senator Talcott announced her intent to raise the following questions: To the Senate Exec committee, has the Chancellor's Office asked exec to weigh in on AB 1460, AB 392, or SB 660 (either in support or opposition)? Did the exec send letters either in support of or opposition to these bills, to the legislature? Does the Senate Exec plan to write letters in support or opposition, and if so, will you first gain the approval from the Senate on these positions prior to taking (and communicating) them?

INTENT TO RAISE QUESTIONS

3.2 Senator Marmolejo announced her intent to raise the following question:

1. How can we believe in the integrity of shared governance if our university administration consistently dismisses community concerns and yet immediately responds when their jobs are at stake?
2. If state laws and CSULA regulations mandate that the initial proposal be submitted by January 7th, which left very little time for community discussions, why didn't our administrations decide to move forward with the one year moratorium?

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

4. It was m/s/p (Fernando) to approve the minutes of the meeting of April 23, 2019 (ASM 18-15).

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

5. It was m/s/p (Meyer) to approve the agenda.

SENATE CHAIR'S REPORT

6. Chair Prabhu yielded her time to the Academic Senate Parliamentarian, Senator Flint, who reviewed parliamentarians rules and procedures for the body and guests.

PROVOST'S REPORT

7. Provost Mahoney presented her report.

ASCSU RESOLUTION - BORDER ISSUES AND SEPARATION OF FAMILIES: IMPACT ON STUDENTS, FACULTY AND STAFF IN THE CSU (18-17)
Second-Reading Item

8. The recommendation was APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

CAL STATE LA RESOLUTION ON PROPOSED GE CHANGES BY THE ASCSU GE TASK FORCE (18-20)
Second-Reading Item

9. The recommendation was APPROVED. (V: 36/2)

CAL STATE LA RESOLUTION ON INCREASING FUNDING FOR THE CSU ELECTRONIC CORE COLLECTION (ECC) (18-21)
Second-Reading Item

10. 10.1 It was m/s/p (Heubach) to insert THE after each "Whereas", insert THAT THE after the first three "Resolved", and delete be it further at the end of the first four "Whereas" statements. (V: 37/2)

10.2 The recommendation was APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

ASI RESOLUTION OF NO CONFIDENCE IN THE PRESIDENT AND KEY ADMINISTRATORS OF CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES (18-22)
Second-Reading Item

11. 11.1 Debate ensued.

11.2 The recommendation FAILED (V:21/21 – tie broken by Senate Chair).

11.3 It was m/s/f (Harris) to reconsider the vote. The recommendation FAILED (V: 24/24 – tie broken by Senate Chair).

ADJOURNMENT

12. Chair Prabhu adjourned the meeting at 3:28 p.m.