

D. Curiel, K. Foster, C. Frank, K. Karimlou, D. Lee, M. Tufenkjian, S. Vergara

ABSENT

R. Land

EXCUSED ABSENCE

Chair Hunt convened the meeting at 1:39 p.m.

1. 1.1 The Chair's Announcements:

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Distributed today at the back of the room is document 10-6.1, a proposed substitute motion from the Executive Committee.

1.2 Senator Klein announced: The College of Health and Human Services held its meeting on semester conversion last week. Approximately 35 faculty and staff showed up at the meeting. A statement of understanding was developed at that time. It was sent out to all the faculty in the college, full and part time, as well as staff. We had scores of responses to the document, favorable responses. We had one part time faculty member who was against the statement. Almost unanimously the College of Health and Human Services was in support of semester conversion. You have in front of you the statement from the College of Health and Human Services.

2. Senator Dumitrescu announced her intent to raise the following question of the Vice President for Information Technology and Chief Technology Officer:

INTENT TO RAISE QUESTIONS

Over the Thanksgiving break, there was a major spam problem which caused huge delays in the delivery of emails with document attachments. Personally, I know of three emails that were never received (until I re-sent them last night) and they were all time sensitive. I discovered the problem only when I started wondering why I was not receiving the answers I was supposed to receive (for instance, I am working with a colleague in Europe on a paper we are going to present next week in Colombia). When I contacted them, yesterday, they knew about the problem, and said that "Emails are stored in the email queue and are being delivered as the email servers catch up". So far, it did not happen, and we cannot gamble with scholarly deadlines. If the messages do not bounce back, we have no way to know they were not delivered properly. My question is: can ITS warn faculty when such a problem occurs, as soon as they discover it, instead of waiting for inquiries from affected people?

3. It was m/s/p (Anagnoson) to approve the minutes of the meeting of November 23, 2010 (ASM 10-6).

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

4. 4.1 It was m/s/ (Classen) to approve the agenda.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

4.2 It was m/s/p (Abdullah) to amend the agenda by reversing items 5 and 6.

4.3 The agenda was approved as amended.

5. 5.1 The Chair reminded the body that the following motion was on the floor: A full faculty vote on conversion be scheduled for after the time that the Senate has an opportunity to discuss the answers to the questions raised in the "Intent to Raise questions" and that after the faculty vote a Senate vote be scheduled.

CONSIDERATION OF A FULL FACULTY VOTE ON CONVERSION TO SEMESTERS

Second-Reading

5.2 It was m/s/ (Pomirchy) to amend the motion to include THAT THE ASI BOARD OF DIRECTORS VOTE BEFORE THE SENATE TAKES A VOTE ON SEMESTER CONVERSION ALONG WITH THE FULL FACULTY.

5.3 The Chair suggested that the Pomirchy motion be discussed following a discussion of the main motion.

CONSIDERATION OF A FULL
FACULTY VOTE ON CONVERSION
TO SEMESTERS (*Continued*)

- 5.4 Debate ensued.
- 5.5 It was m/s/ (Baaske) to amend the motion to read: A full faculty vote on conversion be scheduled prior to the vote of the Senate.
- 5.6 Senator Klein suggested as a friendly amendment deleting the word scheduled and inserting the word HELD.
- 5.7 It was agreed by consensus to accept Senator Klein's amendment as friendly.
- 5.8 Senator Abdullah suggested as a friendly amendment that the following language be added at the end of the sentence: AND THAT THE RESULTS OF THE FACULTY VOTE BE SHARED WITH THE SENATE PRIOR TO THE SENATE VOTE.
- 5.9 It was agreed by consensus to accept Senator Abdullah's amendment as friendly.
- 5.10 It was m/s/p (Pomirchy) to amend the Baaske motion by adding the following language at the end of the sentence: AND THAT AN ASI BOARD OF DIRECTORS VOTE BE CONSIDERED BEFORE THE SENATE VOTES ON SEMESTER CONVERSION.
- 5.11 It was m/s/f (Abbott) that each college be asked to vote on semester conversion.
- 5.12 It was m/s/f (Bell) to insert the document 10-6.1 as the language on the ballot.
- 5.13 It was m/s/ (Abdullah) that the Baaske motion be amended by deleting the words full faculty and inserting the words TENURED, TENURE TRACK FACULTY AND LECTURER FACULTY.
- 5.14 Senator Huld suggested as a friendly amendment that the insertion be changed to read TENURED/TENURE TRACK AND LECTURER FACULTY.
- 5.15 It was agreed by consensus to accept Senator Huld's amendment as friendly.
- 5.16 The Abdullah amendment was approved as amended.
- 5.17 The Baaske motion was APPROVED as amended and reads as follows. A tenured/tenure track and lecturer faculty vote on conversion be scheduled prior to the vote of the Senate and that the results of the faculty vote be shared with the Senate prior to the Senate vote and that an ASI Board of Directors vote be considered before the Senate votes on semester conversion.

ACADEMIC CALENDAR (10-6, -6.1)
Second-Reading

6. 6.1 It was m/s/p (Classen) to substitute document 10-6.1 for 10-6.
- 6.2 It was m/s/p (Falvey) to amend the sixth bullet in document 10-6.1 by deleting the words substantial and of and inserting the words SUFFICIENT and FOR.
- 6.3 It was m/s/ (Huld) to amend the first sentence in document 10-6.1 by deleting the word believes and inserting SUPPORTS, inserting the word WHICH before "must," and deleting the colon.
- 6.4 It was suggested as a friendly amendment that the word which be changed to THAT but the suggestion was not accepted as friendly.
- 6.5 The Huld amendment failed.

ACADEMIC CALENDAR
(Continued)

- 6.6 It was m/s/ (Klein) to amend the final sentence in document 10-6.1 by deleting the phrase Given these conditions and inserting the phrase ONLY IF THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET.
- 6.7 Senator Classen suggested as a friendly amendment that the phrase ONLY IF THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET be inserted at the end of the sentence.
- 6.8 Senator Classen's amendment was not accepted as friendly.
- 6.9 Senator Pomirchy suggested as a friendly amendment that the inserted language be changed to: IF THE ACADEMIC SENATE RECOMMENDS THESE CONDITIONS SHOULD BE ACCEPTED.
- 6.10 Senator Pomirchy's amendment was not accepted as friendly.
- 6.11 It was m/s/p (Flint) to amend the Klein motion by inserting the phrase ONLY IF THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET at the end of the sentence.
- 6.12 The Klein motion was approved as amended.
- 6.13 It was m/s/ (Huld) to amend the first bullet in document 10-6.1 by inserting the words AS INDICATED BY MODELS F AND G IN TABLE 1, PAGE 11 OF THE REPORT at the end of the sentence.
- 6.14 Senator Abed suggested as a friendly amendment that the insertion read: GIVEN THAT THERE IS A RESEARCH REQUIREMENT, THE SEMESTER CONVERSION OUGHT NOT TO RESULT IN MORE THAN A 3-3 LOAD.
- 6.15 Senator Abed's amendment was not accepted as friendly.
- 6.16 It was m/s/p (Abdullah) to continue this item as second-reading at the next meeting.
7. It was m/s/p (Flint) to adjourn at 3:10 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT